

## The Honorable Benjamin H. Settle

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT TACOMA

DALE GARCIA and JANA  
ARCHAMBEAU, husband and wife,

**Plaintiffs,**

V.

THOMAS BENENATI and LORETTA BENENATI, husband and wife and the marital community thereof; RYAN LAYTON and JANE DOE LAYTON, husband and wife and the marital community thereof; ROBERT INGRAM and JANE DOE INGRAM, husband and wife and the marital community thereof; HEATH YATES and JANE DOE YATES, husband and wife and the marital community thereof; MATT NILES and JANE DOE NILES, husband and wife and the marital community thereof; STATE OF WASHINGTON; WASHINGTON STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION; JOHN and JANE DOES 1-20 and the marital communities thereof, and ABC CORPORATIONS 1-10.

## Defendants.

No. 3:19-cv-05597-BHS

**DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO  
COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES**

## DEMAND FOR JURY

Come now, Defendants Thomas Benenati and Loretta Benenati, husband and wife and the marital community thereof; Ryan Layton and Jane Doe Layton, husband and wife and the marital community thereof; Robert Ingram and Jane Doe Ingram, husband and wife

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR  
DAMAGES - 1  
3:19-cv-05597-BHS

1039-00012/445600

**KEATING, BUCKLIN & McCORMACK, INC., P.S.**  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
801 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 1210  
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104  
PHONE: (206) 623-8861  
FAX: (206) 223-9423

1 and the marital community thereof; Heath Yeats and Jane Doe Yeats (not "Yates" as  
2 mistakenly listed in the caption), husband and wife and the marital community thereof;  
3 Matt Niles and Jane Doe Niles, husband and wife and the marital community thereof; State  
4 of Washington; and Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission, and in answer to  
5 Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages for Violation of Civil Rights and Pendent State Law*  
6 *Claims* admits, denies and alleges as follows:

7 Unless specifically admitted herein, Defendants deny each and every allegation in  
8 Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

9   **I. JURY DEMAND**

10         1.1 Paragraph 1.1 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages* does not contain factual  
11 averments to which a response is required, and as such is denied.

12   **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

13         2.1 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 2.1 of Plaintiffs'  
14 *Complaint for Damages*.

15   **III. PARTIES**

16         3.1 Defendants are without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth  
17 of the allegations contained in paragraph 3.1 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages* and,  
18 therefore, deny the same.

19         3.2 Defendants are without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth  
20 of the allegations contained in paragraph 3.2 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages* and,  
21 therefore, deny the same.

22         3.3 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.3 of Plaintiffs'  
23 *Complaint for Damages*.

24         3.4 Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 3.4 of Plaintiffs'  
25 *Complaint for Damages*.

26         3.5 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.5 of Plaintiffs'

1       *Complaint for Damages.*

2           3.6 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.6 of Plaintiffs'  
3       *Complaint for Damages.*

4           3.7 Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 3.7 of Plaintiffs'  
5       *Complaint for Damages.*

6           3.8 Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 3.8 of Plaintiffs'  
7       *Complaint for Damages.*

8           3.9 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.9 of Plaintiffs'  
9       *Complaint for Damages.*

10          3.10 Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 3.10 of Plaintiffs'  
11       *Complaint for Damages.*

12          3.11 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.11 of Plaintiffs'  
13       *Complaint for Damages.*

14          3.12 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.12 of Plaintiffs'  
15       *Complaint for Damages.*

16          3.13 Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 3.13 of Plaintiffs'  
17       *Complaint for Damages.*

18          3.14 Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 3.14 of Plaintiffs'  
19       *Complaint for Damages.*

20          3.15 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.15 of Plaintiffs'  
21       *Complaint for Damages.*

22          3.16 Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 3.16 of Plaintiffs'  
23       *Complaint for Damages.*

24          3.17 Defendants admit that the Washington State Parks and Recreation  
25       Commission is a seven-member quasi-legislative citizen commission that provides policy  
26       direction for the agency, and deny the remainder of the allegations contained in paragraph  
27

1 3.17 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

2 3.18 Defendants admit that the State of Washington is a sovereign state, and deny  
3 the remainder of the allegations contained in paragraph 3.18 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for*  
4 *Damages*.

5 3.19 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 3.19 of Plaintiffs'  
6 *Complaint for Damages*.

7 3.20 Defendants are without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth  
8 of the allegations contained in paragraph 3.20 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages* and,  
9 therefore, deny the same.

10 3.21 Defendants admit that Plaintiffs presented a Claim for Damages on or about  
11 December 6, 2018 to the Office of Risk Management of the Department of Enterprise  
12 Services and that more than sixty days has elapsed since that time, and deny the remainder  
13 of the allegations contained in paragraph 3.21 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

14 **IV. FACTS**

15 Defendants deny the allegations contained in the introductory unnumbered  
16 paragraph of Section IV. of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

17 4.1 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 4.1 of Plaintiffs'  
18 *Complaint for Damages*.

19 4.2 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 4.2 of Plaintiffs'  
20 *Complaint for Damages*.

21 4.3 Defendants are without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth  
22 of the allegations contained in paragraph 4.3 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages* and,  
23 therefore, deny the same.

24 4.4 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 4.4 of Plaintiffs'  
25 *Complaint for Damages*.

26 4.5 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 4.5 of Plaintiffs'  
27

1       *Complaint for Damages.*

2       4.6     Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 4.6 of Plaintiffs'  
3       *Complaint for Damages.*

4       4.7     Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 4.7 of Plaintiffs'  
5       *Complaint for Damages.*

6       4.8     Defendants are without knowledge sufficient to form a belief as to the truth  
7       of the allegations contained in paragraph 4.8 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages* and,  
8       therefore, deny the same.

9       4.9     Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 4.9 of Plaintiffs'  
10      *Complaint for Damages.*

11      4.10    Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 4.10 of Plaintiffs'  
12      *Complaint for Damages.*

13                   **V. LIABILITY AND CAUSES OF ACTION**

14      5.1     Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.1 of Plaintiffs'  
15      *Complaint for Damages.*

16      5.2     Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.2 of Plaintiffs'  
17      *Complaint for Damages.*

18      5.3     Defendants admit the allegations contained in paragraph 5.3 of Plaintiffs'  
19      *Complaint for Damages.*

20      5.4     Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.4 of Plaintiffs'  
21      *Complaint for Damages.*

22      5.5     Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.5 of Plaintiffs'  
23      *Complaint for Damages.*

24      5.6     Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.6 of Plaintiffs'  
25      *Complaint for Damages.*

26      5.7     Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.7 of Plaintiffs'

### *Complaint for Damages.*

5.8 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.8 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

5.9 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.9 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

5.10 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.10 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

5.11 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.11 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

5.12 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.12 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

5.13 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.13 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

5.14 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.14 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

5.15 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.15 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

5.16 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.16 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

5.17 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 5.17 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

## VI. DAMAGES

6.1 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 6.1 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

6.2 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 6.2 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

## VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

7.1 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 7.1 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

7.2 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 7.2 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

7.3 Defendants deny the allegations contained in paragraph 7.3 of Plaintiffs' *Complaint for Damages*.

## DEMAND FOR JURY

Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 38, Defendants hereby demand that this matter be tried to a jury of six or more persons.

## AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,  
Defendants allege that Plaintiffs' claims are precluded by the doctrine of qualified  
immunity.

BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,  
Defendants allege that Plaintiffs' claims are precluded by the doctrine of legislative  
immunity.

BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,  
Defendants allege this action is barred by the Eleventh Amendment to the U.S. Constitution  
as regards the State of Washington and the Washington State Parks and Recreation  
Commission.

1 BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,  
2 Defendants allege that neither the State of Washington and the Washington State Parks and  
3 Recreation Commission is a "person" under 42 USC § 1983.

4 BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,  
5 Defendants allege that the Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim upon which relief may be  
6 granted.

7 BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,  
8 Defendants allege that the injuries and damages, if any, claimed by the Plaintiffs were  
9 proximately caused or contributed to by the fault of Plaintiffs.

10 BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE  
11 DEFENSE, Defendants allege that if Plaintiffs suffered any damages, recovery therefor is  
12 barred by Plaintiffs' failure to mitigate said damages.

13 BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE EIGHT  
14 DEFENSE, Defendants allege that the summons and complaint were never properly served  
15 upon Defendants or that the process served was insufficient.

16 BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,  
17 Defendants allege that Plaintiffs' action is barred because they were under the influence of  
18 an intoxicating liquor or drug at the time of the occurrence causing the alleged injuries and  
19 that such condition was a proximate cause of the alleged injuries. RCW 5.40.060.

20 BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE,  
21 Defendants allege that Plaintiffs' action is barred because they were engaged in the  
22 commission of a felony at the time of the occurrence causing the alleged injuries and the  
23 felony was a proximate cause of the alleged injuries. RCW 4.24.420.

24 BY WAY OF FURTHER ANSWER AND ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE  
25 DEFENSE, Defendants allege that Loretta Benenati was not married to Tom Benenati at the  
26 time of the incident and is an improper Defendant.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Defendants THOMAS BENENATI AND LORETTA BENENATI, HUSBAND AND WIFE AND THE MARITAL COMMUNITY THEREOF; RYAN LAYTON AND JANE DOE LAYTON, HUSBAND AND WIFE AND THE MARITAL COMMUNITY THEREOF; ROBERT INGRAM AND JANE DOE INGRAM, HUSBAND AND WIFE AND THE MARITAL COMMUNITY THEREOF; HEATH YATES AND JANE DOE YATES, HUSBAND AND WIFE AND THE MARITAL COMMUNITY THEREOF; MATT NILES AND JANE DOE NILES, HUSBAND AND WIFE AND THE MARITAL COMMUNITY THEREOF; STATE OF WASHINGTON; and WASHINGTON STATE PARKS AND RECREATION COMMISSION pray for the following relief:

1. That Plaintiffs' complaint be dismissed with prejudice, and that Plaintiffs take nothing by their complaint;
2. That Defendants be allowed its statutory costs and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein under 42 U.S.C. §1988, 28 U.S.C. §1927, and RCW 4.85.185; and,
3. For such additional relief the Court may deem just and equitable.

DATED this 13th day of August 2019.

KEATING, BUCKLIN & McCORMACK, INC., P.S.

By: /s/ Stewart A. Estes  
Stewart A. Estes, WSBA #15535  
Jeremy W. Culumber, WSBA #35423  
Special Assistant Attorney General for Defendants

801 Second Avenue, Suite 1210  
Seattle, WA 98104  
Phone: (206) 623-8861  
Fax: (206) 223-9423  
Email: [sestes@kbmlawyers.com](mailto:sestes@kbmlawyers.com)  
[jculumber@kbmlawyers.com](mailto:jculumber@kbmlawyers.com)

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR  
DAMAGES - 9  
3:19-cv-05597-BHS

1039-00012/445600

**KEATING, BUCKLIN & MCCRACKEN, INC., P.S.**  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
801 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 1210  
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104  
PHONE: (206) 623-8861  
FAX: (206) 223-9423

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the below date, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

## **Attorneys for Plaintiffs**

Mark Leemon, WSBA #5005  
Leemon + Royer, PLLC  
403 Columbia Street, Suite 500  
Seattle, WA 98104  
Tel: 206-269-1100  
Fax: 206-269-7424  
Email: leemon@leeroylaw.com

## Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Thomas B. Vertetis, WSBA # 29805  
Pfau Cochran Vertetis Amala, PLLC  
911 Pacific Ave. Ste. 200  
Tacoma, WA 98402  
Tel: 253-777-0799  
Fax: 253-627-0654  
Email: tom@pcvalaw.com

and I hereby certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service the document to the following non CM/ECF participants:

N/A.

DATED: August 13, 2019

/s/ Stewart A. Estes

Stewart A. Estes, WSBA #15535  
Special Assistant Attorney General for  
DEFENDANTS  
801 Second Avenue, Suite 1210  
Seattle, WA 98104  
Phone: (206) 623-8861  
Fax: (206) 223-9423  
Email: [sestes@kbmlawyers.com](mailto:sestes@kbmlawyers.com)

DEFENDANTS' ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR  
DAMAGES - 10  
3:19-cv-05597-BHS

**KEATING, BUCKLIN & MCCRACKEN, INC., P.S.**  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
801 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 1210  
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104  
PHONE: (206) 623-8861  
FAX: (206) 223-9423